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Abstract

Why has myth continued to fascinate modern artists, and why the myth of Narcissus, with its modern association with narcissism? This article considers the relationship between the Narcissus myth and the lineage of modern art that runs from Symbolism to surrealism through the polymorphous prism of the Greco-Roman Pantheon to which Narcissus belongs. The article offers an interpretation of the role of mythology in modern art that moves beyond psychoanalysis to incorporate the longer span of the art-historical tradition. Addressing issues of aesthetics, gender and sexuality, the following account highlights Narcissus’s double nature as an erotic myth that comprises both identity formation and intersubjectivity, as enacted in the field of representation. The myths associated with Narcissus in the history of Western art will help us reconsider his role as a powerful figure capable to activate that slippage between word and image, identity and sociability, representation and reality which was celebrated by the Symbolists and formed the centre of the surrealists’ social-aesthetic project.

*Et placet et video; sed quod videoque placetque, non tamen invenio.*

Ovid

In his *Contribution to the Theory of Political Economy* (1857), Karl Marx rejected the idea that the aesthetic pleasure derived from ancient Greek art and poetry proceeded from the political values of the society that produced them. In contrast, he highlighted the importance of mythology in classical art, condemning it as childish and irreconcilable with modern society: ‘all mythology subdues, controls and fashions the forces of nature in the imagination and through imagination; it disappears therefore when real control over these forces is established [in] a society demanding from the artist an imagination independent of mythology.’ In the second half of the nineteenth century, the development of disciplines such as archaeology, anthropology and psychoanalysis seemed to contradict Marx’s forecast. The archaeological discoveries of the ‘primitive’ substratum of classical antiquity – the pre-Hellenic worlds of the *Iliad*, the *Odyssey* and the Labyrinth of Knossos – provided physical evidence of myth’s historical validity, while Freud reframed myth in terms of the structure of the unconscious. Thus, far from disappearing, mythology became the centre of new claims for ‘control over the forces of nature,’ whether temporal, spatial or instinctive. In modernist art, the capricious logic of mythic narratives and tropes reflected the contradictions and anxieties of modernity.

Of all the myths of classical antiquity, that of Narcissus is among those that modern artists and intellectuals have addressed most often and most directly. It was not the first psychological theory of narcissism, but Freud’s incorporation of Narcissus into his theory of psychosexual development remains the major modern transformation of the myth. He identified a pre-Oedipal phase between autoeroticism and object-love as ‘primary narcissism,’ which persisted in adult latency as ‘secondary narcissism.’ He considered the first phase to be part of healthy libidinal development, but condemned the second as an aberration characteristic of attractive women and
homosexuals. Following Freud, the myth has been redirected from aesthetics towards ethics or pathology. Famously, Jacques Lacan redescribed narcissism in the more neutral terms of a universal formation of identity through the ‘mirror stage.’ As the foundation of the imaginary order, narcissism is, according to Lacan, a phase of the development of subjectivity during which the child takes possession of the world, while also laying the foundations of the symbolic order pertaining to intersubjectivity. Maurice Merleau-Ponty noted how, by shifting the focus away from sexuality, Lacan interpreted narcissism in ways that make ‘full use of the legend, incorporating the components that Freud had overlooked.’

This article moves beyond psychoanalytical approaches to Narcissus to consider his relationship with modern art through the myth’s initial context – the Greco-Roman Pantheon. Narcissus’s relation to a number of fellow mythological figures highlights his double nature as an erotic character that marries identity-formation and intersubjectivity. The myths associated with Narcissus in the history of Western art reveal his power to activate a slippage between word and image, identity and sociability, representation and reality. Symbolist culture celebrated these dynamics, which the surrealists put at the centre of their social-aesthetic project.

In the *Metamorphoses* (finished circa 8CE), Ovid already had Narcissus hover between subjectivity and sociability. He stressed the tension between Narcissus’s isolation and a range of associated figures, not least through the story’s position in the poem. Narcissus appears in Book Three during a series of accounts of divine vengeance. The context is the story of the nymph Echo, who hides Jupiter’s adultery from Juno by verbally misleading the goddess. Juno takes revenge so that the nymph’s once-deceiving voice now only repeats the words of others. The tale of Echo’s sad fate is brought to a close with a mention of her unrequited love for Narcissus. Having been rejected by the handsome youth, the nymph’s frame wastes away through grief and tears, until nothing is left of her but her mimicking voice.

A similar fate awaits Narcissus. Having rejected the love of not only Echo but of many youths too, he is condemned to experience the same pain that he inflicts on his suitors. Out hunting one day, Narcissus approaches a perfectly quiet pool to drink; but ‘while he wished to calm his thirst, another thirst grew (sitim sedare cupit, sitis altera crevit, 415) – the love for his own image. At first, Narcissus does not recognise himself in the pool, and ‘loves a hope without body, thinking that what is only a shadow is a body (spem sine corpore amat, corpus putat esse, quod umbra est, 417).’ Abashedly, he begins to court his own reflection; believing that it responded to his smiles, he stretches his arms towards it until he tragically recognises himself in the image – ‘I am he!… I burn with love for myself: I arouse and endure the flames (ipse ego sum!… uror amore mei: flammas moveoque feroque, 464).’ Engaging in a mirror play that echoes visually Echo’s verbal reflections of his own words, Narcissus, exhausted, prays to be granted the same destiny as the nymph he rejected: ‘If I could only escape from my own body! (O utinam a nostro secedere corpore possem! 468)’ he cries, before fading away, biding farewell to ‘the boy I loved in vain (frusta dilecte puer, 500),’ while his echoed words fill the air. ‘Instead of his body,’ Ovid wrote, the Naiads, the Dryads...
and Echo ‘found a flower of white petals surrounding a golden cup (croceum pro corpore florem inveniunt foliis medium cingentibus albis, 510).’

Like this flower, the story of Narcissus is both self-contained and surrounded by several figures that make it signify in the narrative economy of Ovid and the art-historical tradition that followed from the *Metamorphoses*. In recent years, feminism has considered Narcissus’s intersubjective component in relation to Echo as his female Other. I will return to Echo in a following section of this article. She is one of several figures who make a fleeting appearance in Narcissus’s pool – figures who reflect mythology’s original collective dimension, which is maintained within the surrealists’ approach to myth, despite its fragmentation into a series of individualistic tropes in psychoanalysis.

**Narcissus cum Orpheus: Narcissus as the Sound of Vision**

Narcissus’s fortune was equalled by another tragic myth of physical transformation, that of Orpheus, which held great currency in the Symbolist circles that would have so deep an influence on the surrealists. Orpheus occupied much of book ten of Ovid’s *Metamorphoses*, as both narrator and object of narration. Orpheus’s lyrics, Ovid wrote, had enchanting powers over men, animals and even gods. His poetry allowed him to enter the Underworld in an attempt to bring Eurydice, his deceased young wife, back to life. Sadly, Orpheus lost her once and for all when, impiously, he contravened the condition of his grant by looking at Eurydice before leaving the world of the dead. Thereafter, the poet sang in solitude of the loves of the gods for young men. This, together with his disdain for women, made him the leader of a homoerotic cult.

In more recent times, it is this aspect of the Orpheus myth that has attracted the most critical attention. In his reflection on the social function of same-sex desire, Herbert Marcuse, in particular, brought together Orpheus and Narcissus as a performative-contemplative principle capable of recalling the ‘experience of a world that is not to be mastered and controlled but to be liberated – a freedom that will release the powers of Eros now bound in the repressed and petrified forms of man and nature.’ Marcuse considered the two homoerotic myths as the expression of a new sociability based on the unitary character of primary narcissism. ‘Narcissism,’ he wrote, ‘may contain the germ of a different reality principle: the libidinal cathexis of the ego (one’s own body) may become the source and reservoir for a new libidinal cathexis of the objective world – transforming this world into a new mode of being.’

Narcissus’s status is raised by his association with Orpheus: the mythological-artistic tradition and psychoanalysis are reconciled in an attempt to overcome the equation of the myth with narcissism.

Within Symbolism, however, it was the ‘post-erotic’ moment of Orpheus’s story that exercised the strongest fascination. Rather than dwell on Orpheus’s living body, artists chose to represent Orpheus’s corpse, as described in book eleven of the *Metamorphoses*. Orpheus’s death, unlike the quiet fading away of Narcissus who was assisted by Echo alone, happened violently at the hand of a group of inebriated women. However, another group of women, only this time pious, cried upon the dead poet’s body as they had done on Narcissus’s. It was this mournful moment that
the Symbolists celebrated. They depicted the death of Orpheus in anti-anecdotal scenes in which the poet’s severed head and lyre lie on the beach of Lesbos, or are mourned by the nymphs during their journey to the sea along the river Hebrus.

The Symbolists’ approach to the myths of Narcissus and Orpheus can be considered to be the product of a modernist emphasis on vision and a will to merge representation and perception in order to create a Gesamtkunstwerk. Symbolism aimed, in Gustave Kahn’s famous definition, ‘to objectify the subjective (the externalisation of the Idea), instead of subjectifying the objective (nature seen through a temperament).’\(^{14}\) This reversal of the Cartesian cogito as revived by French Naturalism finds a parallel in Narcissus and Orpheus’s transfiguration from dramatic narratives into immobile icons. Furthermore, their stories summon up that concurrence of the senses which the Symbolists adopted from Charles Baudelaire: ‘Like long echoes that mingle in the distance / In a profound tenebrous unity, / Vast as the night and vast as light, / Perfumes, sounds, and colours respond to one another’ (‘Comme de longs échos qui de loin se confondent / Dans une ténébreuse et profonde unité, / Vaste comme la nuit et comme la claret, / Les parfums, les couleurs et les sons se répondent’).\(^{15}\) The narrative of Narcissus cum Orpheus contains complex correspondences between the senses of sight and hearing. Narcissus in the pool mirrors Echo’s frustrated pursuit; appropriately, the nymph’s voice echoes Narcissus’s unreturned words; Orpheus’s lyric poetry, in turn, resonates with the world it describes, but his song is drowned-out by the Maenads’ ferocious noise, and his vision of eternity makes the immediate reality of his beloved disappear. In his Orphée (1865), Gustave Moreau depicted the mythical poet through these sensorial correspondences. Representing an unrequited visual dialogue between the dead poet and the contemplative nymph, Moreau associated Orpheus’s lyre and closed-eyes to conjure up the resounding, death-defying powers of poetry. Scenes of contemplation over Orpheus’s head highlight the possibility for sight to take on the indeterminacy of words.\(^{16}\) Here, the painter emphasised the extra-corporeal dimension of the myth’s sensuality by drawing on both sacred and profane iconography to convey its syncretic and androgyne character.\(^{17}\)

The myth of Narcissus is structurally simpler than that of Orpheus, and has proven historically better suited for visual representation. Considering Caravaggio’s Narcissus (ca. 1597), for instance, Stephen Bann has explored the development of an alternative ancient tradition of the Narcissus myth to the narrative recounted by Ovid: Philostratus’s detailed description (ekphrasis) of a lost painting that depicted Narcissus at the pool.\(^{18}\) Even more so than Ovid’s drama, the pictorial version of the myth emphasised the deceitful character of the erotic gaze. Arguably, it is the inherent tension between visual clarity and sensorial suggestion as conveyed through painting that attracted the Symbolists to the Narcissus myth. This seems evident, in particular, in John William Waterhouse’s Echo and Narcissus (1903).\(^{19}\) By way of mirroring correspondences, Waterhouse represented the underlying sexual frustration of the story through visual rather than physical relations. Waterhouse distilled the Ovidian narrative in a system of visual echoes that centre on Narcissus’s reflection, offering a very apt example of the ways in which the myth highlights a concern for the self-reflexivity of vision that characterises the early phases of modernism.
Vision, when granted the nuanced, expressive possibilities of poetry, allowed the Symbolists to offer a new treatment of age-old narratives and to present themselves as at once literary and modernist. The surrealists were quick to take their lead. In the work of Constantin Brancusi, which stands, generationally and aesthetically, between Symbolism and surrealism, Narcissus and the severed head of Orpheus appear to be coupled with yet another myth – that of Prometheus. To be sure, while a lost bust of Narcissus and a plaster model for a Narcissus Fountain are documented in Brancusi’s work of the 1910s and he produced several versions of Prometheus during the same period, he made no known sculpture of Orpheus. However, a photograph that Brancusi took of his studio in the 1920s shows the plaster of the Narcissus Fountain ‘overlooking’ two reclining heads that recall Symbolist depictions of Orpheus’s severed head [Fig. 1]. Moreover, the two heads were to be the models for The First Cry and The First Step – two sculptures that, in conjuring up vision, sound, and action within the same figure, perform similar effects to the Orpheus myth.

Fig. 1: Constantin Brancusi, Photograph of the sculptor’s studio in rue Ronsin, Paris, showing the lost cast for The Narcissus Fountain (1920s-1930s), Gelatin silver print, 13½ x 8½ in., matted and framed, ca. 1922-23. © ADAGP, Paris and DACS, London, 2011.
Anna Chave has drawn on the reflective character of Brancusi’s ultra-polished, ovoidal and self-enclosed heads to sketch a reading of the sculptor’s work which emphasises its narcissistic qualities in relation to issues of gender.\(^{20}\) In the case of the *Narcissus* bust, however, its later evolution into the bronzes of *Mademoiselle Pogany* and *Princess X* was not preceded by a cast of either bust or fountain in bronze. Whether this was a conscious choice on Brancusi’s part, or simply a paradoxical coincidence, is unclear. What matters here is neither the female development of *Narcissus* (a point to which we will return in a following section), nor the narcissistic function of the medium, but the primacy that the artist seems to assign to what could be called ‘speaking vision’ in determining the identity of his work.

Friedrich Teja Bach has called Brancusi’s photographic depictions of his sculptures ‘programmatic texts without words.’\(^{21}\) Around the time Brancusi took this particular photograph, the surrealist Roger Vitrac commented on the limits of language in expressing the ‘bewitchment’ (*envoûtement*) derived from the spiritual unity conveyed by the mutilated and decapitated bodies scattered around the sculptor’s atelier:

… contrary to certain works whose justification requires an acquired knowledge, the work of Brancusi imposes itself to the highest faculties without the intermediary of intelligence. He has thrown a great bridge between the senses and the mind, which we cross at the speed of lightning when attention allows us to acquire the passive attitude of the Sphinx.\(^{22}\)

Alexandra Parigoris has read Vitrac’s insights as superseding the Freudian interpretation of Brancusi’s fragments in terms of castration anxiety and the disavowal of sexual difference at the centre of Chave’s interpretation.\(^{23}\) Invoking Rainer-Maria Rilke’s ‘Archaic Torso of Apollo,’ Parigoris has called attention to the meaning of the ‘fragment’ as anti-anecdotal and related to the ‘phenomenology of vision’ within modernist culture. Further evidence for this interpretation is offered by Paul Valéry’s ‘Fragments of Narcissus,’ which was composed around the time that Brancusi took this photograph, and which constitutes one of the great moments of Narcissus’s Symbolist resurrection. Valéry’s second long poem dedicated to Narcissus – one of three in the poet’s life-long engagement with the myth – presents the figure in frustrated opposition to the vision *en abîme* offered by its own image: ‘Everything brings me back and enchains me to the luminous flesh / That divides me from the vertiginous peace of the waters’ (*Tout m’appelle et m’enchaîne à la chair lumineuse / Que m’oppose des eaux la paix vertigineuse*).\(^{24}\) The shape of Brancusi’s *Narcissus Fountain* conveys this circular tension between self and universe, identity and representation. Via the enclosed forms of solitary figures such as Rodin’s *Thinker* (originally titled *The Poet*, 1902) and Maillol’s *Mediterranean Woman* (originally called *Latin Thought*, 1905-23), with their Orphic references, Brancusi’s *Narcissus* seems to quote the circularity at play in another unrealised project for a Narcissus fountain: the *Fountain of the Kneeling Youth, or Narcissus in Five Reflections* (1900) by the Belgian Symbolist Georges Minne, a circle of five replicas of Minne’s own *Kneeling Youth*.\(^{25}\)

Rosalind Krauss’s argument about the Bretonian celebration of the ‘perceptual automatism of vision’ is particularly pertinent to our experience of Brancusi’s fragmented sculptures seen
through the camera. The Narcissus Fountain and fellow statues collapse the modernist myth of medium specificity to reassert the wholeness of vision, afforded by the eye in its 'savage state.' This state, to go back to Freud via Breton, eliminates contradiction to replace 'external reality by a psychic reality obeying the pleasure principle alone.' The sensual vision celebrated by the Symbolists, carved and photographed by Brancusi and theorised by the surrealists, harmonises Narcissus (and Orpheus) with the Marcusean possibility of a return to a state of primary narcissism in which the self can take possession of its surrounding world. This integration of aesthetic dream with vital instincts finds a mirror in what Breton called 'the unreality that resolves the dualism of perception and representation.' Jean Cocteau, a figure associated with surrealism contrary to the movement's wishes, drew heavily on the Symbolists' association of Orpheus with Narcissus, giving the two myths a new, 'Marcusean' identity by conflating them with his own personal mythology.

In Cocteau's personal mythology, the resurfacing of Narcissus cum Orpheus reflects the evolution of myth from aesthetic motif to psychic trope that runs from Symbolism to surrealism.

**Narcissus cum Tiresias: Narcissus as the Smell of Sex**

Intervening, like Brancusi, between Symbolism and surrealism, and pre-dating Cocteau's engagement with myths, the poet Guillaume Apollinaire tried to embody the correspondence of word and image in text. He presented himself as the 'Rotten Sorcerer' (L'Enchanteur pourrisant) – the title of one of the poems in which he identified with Orpheus, while proclaiming 'I am a painter too' (Et moi aussi je suis peintre), a statement that served as the initial title of Calligrammes, his posthumously published collection of poems.

Apollinaire rose to mythical status during World War I. Convalescing from a head trepanation, the result of a wound gained heroically on the battlefields, in 1916 he became, like Narcissus, the object of a prophecy through a 'premonitory' portrait that Giorgio de Chirico had painted before the war. The Premonitory Portrait of Guillaume (1914), which was originally made to illustrate the cover of Calligrammes, would later enhance de Chirico's own mythical aura amongst the young surrealists. Before Apollinaire's profile – which appears in the shape of a shooting target, complete with hole in the head – the painting depicts an ancient statue with blacked-out sunglasses. The poet's masculine jaw line contrasts with the androgynous features of the sculpture. While the statue's truncated bust thwarts attempts at gender identification, the glasses and the poetic licence taken with the hairstyle also prevents ready associations with a specific ancient type. The statue in the foreground conflates the Venus of Milo and the Apollo Belvedere in a representation of a feminised Orpheus, whose androgynous symbolism is manifested in the conch and fish appearing in the painting. By superimposing female and male iconographies in this 'portrait in absence,' de Chirico presents Apollinaire's sexual identity as unstable, and links the poet's 'portraits' and 'blind vision' to the Freudian uncanny – the male subject's fear of castration when confronted by his feminine side.

De Chirico's 'premonitory' portrait of Apollinaire leads us to discuss Narcissus's formal indeterminacy in relation to issues of psycho-sexual identity. The problematic status of masculinity
post-World War I was the subject of a drama that Apollinaire wrote after recovering from his head wound. *Tiresias’s Breasts* (*Les Mamelles de Tiresias*; premiered in Paris in June 1917), centred on the figure of Thérèse, a woman who decides to transform into a male soldier, leaving her husband with the responsibility of childbearing. The tale illustrated both Apollinaire’s concern with ‘the problem of repopulation’ in war-ridden France and his critical view of official solutions. The character of Thérèse/Tirésias was partly based on the Marquis de Sade’s emancipated Thérèse, who refused to bear children to her lover, and partly on the mythological seer who, in Ovid’s *Metamorphoses*, proclaims that Narcissus will live ‘as long as he does not know himself’ (‘*si se non noverit,*’ 3. 348).³⁵

In a short passage immediately preceding the story of Echo and Narcissus, Ovid recounted the destiny of Tiresias, a man who, after separating two mating snakes, metamorphosised into a woman for seven years, after which time, striking the two snakes again, (s)he went back to being a man. In light of this experience, Jupiter and Juno once summoned him to arbitrate their disagreement about which gender takes most pleasure out of love. Tiresias’s pronouncement in favour of Jupiter triggered Juno’s characteristic jealousy; the resentful goddess struck Tiresias blind but Jupiter compensated him with inner vision. In this way Tiresias went on to become the most respected prophet of classical antiquity, the one who predicted Oedipus’s bleak destiny and Ulysses’s glorious death.

Unlike the original Tiresias, Apollinaire’s protagonist is a woman who, after her venture into masculinity, goes back to her original gender role. In the process, however, her husband undergoes an irreversible metamorphosis. As noticed by Peter Reed, while the end of the drama shows Apollinaire’s incapacity to reconcile ‘the maternal and female aspirations of women’ in the post-war years, his celebration of the psychic and social benefits of androgyny addresses the possibility of new social and sexual identities for men.³⁶ Conferring the role of the hero on Thérèse’s feminised husband, Apollinaire attempted to reframe masculinity outside the conventional association of sex with gender.

The fact that *Tiresias’s Breasts* was one of the first instances in which the word ‘surrealism’ was used links the drama’s androgynous aesthetic and social concerns with the centrality of sex in the revolutionary aspirations of the emergent surrealist movement.³⁷ This point is reinforced by the fact that another instance in which Apollinaire used the word ‘surrealism’ was the preface of the ballet *Parade* (also 1917). Although Apollinaire’s investment in the ballet celebrated the aesthetic possibilities that this art presented for the interaction between bourgeois and popular cultures by collapsing medium specificity and the distinction of ‘high’ and ‘low,’ to ‘parade,’ as highlighted by Lacan, is a behavioural strategy that relates to a burlesque display of sexual identity,³⁸ *Tirésias’s Breasts* and Apollinaire’s theatrical work lead us to consider the role of gender as metamorphosis and ‘parade’ within surrealism, the first modern art movement to place the exploration of gender at the heart of its identity.³⁹

A parade of sexual identity with narcissistic undertones is strongly present in the work of two artists who were closely associated with surrealism: Marcel Duchamp and Man Ray. Their play
with Rrose Sélavy, Duchamp’s female transvestite alter-ego photographed by Man Ray, destabilises notions of authenticity, both in terms of aesthetics and gender. A case in point is Rrose’s 1921 appearance as the face, and the name, behind the perfume Belle Haleine – Eau de Voilette (Beautiful Breath – Veil Water) [Fig. 2].

Dawn Ades has drawn attention to Duchamp’s destabilisation of patriarchal notions of gender in the context of political, aesthetic and psychological debates happening in France and the United States in the 1920s, and Amelia Jones has investigated in depth the possibility of reading Rrose Sélavy’s through gender theory. However, as shown by Michal Taylor in an insightful study of the alter...
ego’s social ‘identity,’ the extent to which Rrose Sélavy was meant to act as a critique of gender stereotypes remains open to debate. In ways similar to Apollinaire’s Breasts, Duchamp and Man Ray’s Rrose seems to address more the inter-war crisis of masculinity than offer a critique of stable gender identity. Duchamp’s performance of Rrose Sélavy and her appearance in a spoofing commercial photograph for a fictional scent seems to rehearse both disavowal and recognition of the artist’s own identity with narcissistic undertones. As noted by Ades, Duchamp’s portraits in drag present ‘poses and guises that imply the presence of a mirror,’ which act as extensions of the pool of Narcissus. The use of photographic portraiture emphasised the slippage between self-absorption and the communicative possibilities of narcissism.

The fictional and fictionally gendered Rrose represent a split in subjectivity that references Duchamp’s public persona as the ‘inventor’ of the ready-made, concocting his very identity through the adoption of ready-made selves – the cocotte and her social evolution, the femme fatale. The narcissistic play between ‘authentic’ and ‘fake’ identity is evident in the five reflections of Duchamp sitting in front of a hinged-mirror setup, in a self-portrait taken in a New York photo shop. Similar to Georges Minne’s replication of The Kneeling Youth in the fountain Narcissus in Five Reflections, Duchamp, the artist behind Richard Mutt – the famously fictitious author of Fountain (1917) – reproduced his own self in mirrored reflections that blurred the boundaries between notions of the ‘original’ and the ‘copy.’ The use of circular reflections to question the distinction between truth and falsehood situates this portrait and the contemporary appearance of Rrose as eau within the tradition of the Narcissus fountain, a site of illusion that originated in antiquity with Pausanias – to which I will return later – and was revived in medieval France by the Roman de la Rose (c. 1275).

Yet, the female masquerade implied by Belle Haleine adds a sexual dimension to Duchamp’s play with his own identity, transforming the male artist’s serious reflection into a risibly seductive female Other. In this ironic play on identity, Belle Haleine performs a role similar to Narcissus’s misrecognition of his own reflection: at once an enquiry into (male) identity and a seductive parade for a mysterious Other that mirrors the self. The (perhaps unconscious) references to Narcissus’s reflections in the assisted readymade are numerous: Rrose’s embodiment of the eau (‘perfume’) Belle Haleine and her encoding of the verb arroser (‘to water’) reinforces both the connection of photography with the pool of Narcissus and the self-reflexive beauty characteristic of Narcissus. The neuter-gendered French name Narcisse and the female-gendered French noun for flower (fleur) link the commercial beauty queen Rrose and her (eau de) voilette/violette (‘veiled/violet water’) with Narcissus’s transformation into the homonymous flower. Andrea Weiss has noted the long association of the colour violet with the history of lesbianism, which goes back to Sappho’s poetry and re-emerged in Shakespeare’s A Midsummer Night’s Dream. Weiss also noted that violets are related to pansies (narcissi) in the Viola family. Interestingly, violets and narcissi appear as interchangeable in Pausanias’s version of the myth, in which Narcissus’s reflection is simultaneously male and female. Pausanias’s Description of Greece, a travel guide to
the ancient sites of Greece written in prose a century after Ovid, was one of the best-known sources of the Narcissus myth in the early-twentieth century.\(^48\)

The Symbolists had already extrapolated the link between Narcissus and the flower that stemmed from him. The correspondence of word, image and smell pervades representations of Narcissus at the turn of the twentieth century: ‘Narcissus … this very name is a tender perfume (Narcisse … ce nom même est un tendre parfum),’ Valéry remarks in ‘Narcissus Speaks,’ whose publication predates the appearance of Rose as ‘beautiful breath’ by just one year.\(^49\) Yet, unlike the Symbolist Narcissus, Duchamp and Man Ray’s Rrose brings the senses together in anti-idealist ways. It turns Narcissus’s enamoured vision of himself into the ‘narcotic’ scent of his cadaverous, vegetable metamorphosis, glossed over by ancient and modern poets. Rrose’s ‘veil water’ overturns the aesthetic essentialism of commercially confectioned ideas of beauty by unveiling the emanation (‘breath’) of an ambiguous sexuality. The ambiguity of her/his beauty is reinforced through the connection between Belle Haleine and la belle Hélène (Beautiful Helen) – a reference to Helen of Troy, the famous ancient beauty who acted as the prize in an ill-fated contest.

Via Helen, Narcissus’s obsession with his own beautiful image (narcissism) is finally, irrevocably linked with the domain of femininity.\(^50\) In the mid-1930s Man Ray and Rrose Sélavy would respectively sign the photographs and text of a book, Men Before the Mirror (1934), in which, we are told, narcissism is manifested in the mirror images of men, who are ‘separated from reality and alone with their dearest vice, vanity,’ and trying ‘to take themselves in as a whole,’ emulating the time-honoured tradition of depicting women at their toilet.\(^51\) Effectively, the female image imprisoned within the mirror of photography in Belle Haleine links Narcissus with his art-historical avatars – images of women looking at themselves in mirrors and mirroring male desire. The association between woman and mirror leads us to consider the reappearance of Narcissus in modern art in relation to the art-historical tradition of female representation: the category of images to which Rrose Sélavy is the modern, photographic and openly simulacral heir.

**Narcissus cum Venus et Echo: Narcissus as Woman between Vanitas and Cosmic Creativity**

The ‘female Narcissus’ appears in antiquity in Pausanias. The author, incredulous that a man ‘old enough to fall in love’ could mistake a reflection for a real person, gave a heuristic, if admittedly ‘less popular’ explanation, as the author says, of the Narcissus story by introducing the character of Narcissus’s twin sister. When she died, Narcissus consoled himself by looking at his own reflection, pretending that his gaze fell instead on his sister. This ‘female Narcissus’ remerged at the turn of the twentieth century, when Freud put forward his theory of ‘mature’ (second-stage) narcissism as a specifically feminine condition.\(^52\) The perversely narcissistic woman, reveling in the powers of her own image, appears as the embodiment of vice in Léon Baskst’s drawing of a bacchante for the programme of the 1911 Ballets Russes’s production Narcisse [Fig. 3].
Fig. 3: Léon Bakst, *Bacchante*, Costume Design for the ballet *Narcisse*, 1911, Drawing, Musée national d’art moderne, Centre Georges Pompidou, Paris.

As in many early productions by the Ballets Russes, the production transfigured classical antiquity through the image of a lavishly sensual Orient. Narcissus, the myth of frustrated erotic vision, was especially apt to stage the drama of modernity’s unrequited love for the Other – be it the ancient past, the ‘exotic,’ or the concupiscent woman. In Bakst’s drawing, the bacchante appears to mimic the final act of *L’Après-midi d’un faune*, in which the main character performs autoeroticism with a scarf. Similar to the faun, this ‘female Narcissus’ is sexually autonomous. Just as the bacchante in *Narcisse* mirrors the male protagonist of the ballet, the narcissistic woman is herself a mirror, a mere imitator incapable of independent pursuits. She is Echo as represented by Waterhouse, her body on display, her gaze turned towards Narcissus; and she is the many women whose voices, as Naomi Segal has shown, acted as ciphers for male authors’ desires in nineteenth-century novels.53

Woman as a reflection of artistic creativity is an underlying theme within surrealism.54 She can be found, with direct reference to Narcissus, in the work of Max Ernst. In the still-lifes of Echo that Ernst painted between 1936-37, the nymph finally possesses Narcissus by feminising the landscape of plants and flowers that she inhabits, linking together her own immaterial presence in nature, Narcissus’s dissolution of identity in the pool and his floral metamorphosis.55 In *The Attirement of the Bride* (1939-40), Ernst drew upon his own queering of the Narcissus myth by
transferring the mirroring woman into the domain of culture. Domesticating the female hybrid within a mirror-enclosed interior – one that occupies a middle ground between Jan van Eyck’s Arnolfini’s room, de Chirico’s irrational architectures, Moreau’s theatrical scenes and Alberto Savinio’s birds/men paintings – Ernst created an image of femininity both alluring and repulsive, in the mode of medieval and Renaissance allegories of vanitas. His painting technique, bringing together decalcomania – which Werner Spies calls an ‘intersubjective method’ – and pictorial naturalism, results in an image that is both ‘natural’ and carefully constructed, referencing dominant male constructions of gendered identity and gender’s simulacral nature concurrently. In the measure of my activity (passivity),’ Ernst wrote in 1948, ‘I contributed to the general overthrow of those values which, in our time, have been considered the most established and secure.’

David Hopkins has analysed Ernst’s Bride in depth as an image that connects Catholic, Rosicrucian and Masonic androgy nous symbolism to reflect the artist’s personal and collective ‘submerged masculinist fantasies.’ She emphasises, through formal and cultural hybridity, the mythological and alchemical union of the opposites as a sign of both deadly sin and fertile creativity. These elements in the representation of femininity – at once personal and collective, sacred and profane – are part of the dynamics of seduction at play within the pictorial tradition that associate Narcissus, woman and painting. This is not without precedent. In the Renaissance, Leon Battista Alberti identified Narcissus with the inventor of painting: ‘what is a painting,’ he asked, ‘but the act of embracing by means of art the surface of the pool?’ Alberti linked the painter’s labour and the viewer’s enjoyment with the narcissistic pursuit of a self-reflexive love object. There is evidence of this in numerous works of art. For instance, Tintoretto’s Susanna and the Elders (1560-2) can be considered to be a narcissistic representation of a female subject, albeit one that bridges pagan and Judeo-Christian traditions. In the painting, the Biblical heroine is transformed into a reversed Narcissus more aware of herself than of her suitors. The two elders, especially the one in the foreground, perform, for their part, the role of Echo, merging with the landscape in a mimetic strategy of ambush.

This mimetic strategy underlines not only the sexual voyeurism of paintings of mirroring women but also the material association of (oil) painting with the pool of Narcissus that served the Renaissance paragone (‘competition’) of painting and sculpture. Paragone paintings aimed to demonstrate the medium’s truthfulness to nature. They depicted varied subjects, from armoured men to images of Venus and allegories of vanitas, to narrative scenes or portraits. The origin of the practice, however, seemed to lie in a lost painting by Giorgione representing a male figure within a landscape, next to a river, reflected three times from three different points of view by the water and two mirrors. Diane Bodart, who interprets the image as Narcissus, has recently drawn attention to a description of the painting by Gian Paolo Lomazzo (L’Idea del tempio della pittura, 1590) in which the naked body of the male figure is transformed into a female subject as the symbol for painting.

Manifestations of Narcissus as his female Others, Venus and/or Echo, have transformed the paragone into a metaphor for representation more broadly. Implicating a slippage between reality and its pictorial translation, painting becomes a field of enquiry for the relationship between...
identity and imagination, as well as between gender and sexuality. In *The Mirror of Venus* (1898), Edward Burne-Jones multiplied Giorgione’s solitary soldier into a row of women contemplating themselves and one another, thus representing woman as, at once, Venus, Narcissus and Echo. The Pre-Raphaelite painter, who based the figure of Venus on Botticelli, was certainly aware of Renaissance theories of painting and, by assimilating Venus to Narcissus, he equated her with Alberti’s idea of painting as the idealised reflection of nature.

Another neo-Platonic theory, Marsilio Ficino’s ‘double Venus,’ is often quoted in Renaissance depictions of Venus at her toilet. Ficino distinguished between a ‘celestial’ Venus, who arouses love in her viewers through contemplation of her beauty alone, and a ‘vulgar’ Venus, who is earthly, sensual and associated with sexuality. The association between this ‘double Venus’ and Narcissus seems evident in Picasso’s *Girl Before a Mirror* (1932). At a time of close association with the surrealists and only a couple of years after having illustrated Ovid’s *Metamorphoses*, Picasso distilled in this portrait of Marie-Thérèse Walter – his young, and then pregnant mistress – an image of metamorphic identity, both formal and gendered, worthy of Apollinaire’s Tirésias [Fig. 4].
Transforming the likeness of his model into a mirror of his own artistic identity at a time of increasingly stylistic polymorphy in his painting practice, Picasso brought together the two Renaissance Venuses and Freud’s Narcissus. Carl-Gustav Jung read images such as Girl Before a Mirror as a sign of the artist’s schizophrenia. Yet, the dualistic representation of the two Venuses within the same figure resolves the contradictions between identity and representation by presenting both as part of a vital metamorphosis that moves from adolescent narcissism to life-giving eroticism and old age vanitas. Playing Medusa – that other great myth of vision – to Picasso’s Persean ambitions at a time when he was juggling not only different styles but different media too, Girl Before a Mirror is an image that reflects the artist’s ambivalent identity, as much as personal and collective ideas of femininity. In conversation with Christian Zervos in the 1930s, Picasso said: ‘the beauties of the Parthenon, Venus, Nymphs and Narcissus are all lies,’ demonstrating his critical approach to established notions of representation. Arguably, however, it was precisely the value of representation as lie, its potential to reveal the elusive character of truth, that interested him:

Do you think I’m interested in the fact that this painting represents two characters? These characters existed, yet [in the painting] they no longer exist. The vision of them gave me an initial emotion, then, little by little, their real presence has lost focus, until they disappeared, or rather, they were transformed into a series of questions.

The emotion and questions of which Picasso speaks are the (male) artist’s own equivocations and dilemmas, which shift the focus from woman as vain Venus, fully conscious of herself, to Narcissus’s naïve love for the self. Venus is an immortal goddess, but Narcissus shares Echo’s transient destiny and his representation, like that of the nymph, is destined to lose itself in the world.

Rereading Freud’s association of narcissism with woman and its Oedipal corollary of woman as lack, Sarah Kofman pointed out that ‘men’s fascination with this eternal feminine [the narcissistic type] is nothing but fascination with their own double.’ Kofman substituted the penis-envy attributed by Freud to women with the hypothesis of man’s envy of woman’s preservation of ‘that original narcissism for which he is eternally nostalgic.’ The implication of this insight is the possibility that narcissism acts as a ground on which subject and object are fused together, their identities blurred, in what Kristeva has identified as Narcissus’s transformation of ‘Platonic ideality into speculative internality.’ Thus the female Narcissus oscillates between erotic idealisation and a questioning of identity through representation. She has the potential to displace Narcissus’s obsessive fixation with the self by repositioning the myth as a fertile ground for identity creation.

This version of the myth was readily taken up by surrealist women artists. The possibilities which the female Narcissus offers for artistic play and identity creation are perhaps asserted most strongly in the series of collaborative (self) portraits made by Claude Cahun and her stepsister/lover Marcel Moore – two women artists who reversed Duchamp and Man Ray’s play with transvestism. As noticed by Tirza Latimer and Jennifer Shaw, Cahun and Moore turn the tradition of women at their toilette and the popular association of narcissism with femininity on their heads,
order to express new possibilities for female creativity and sexuality. Ades has drawn attention to the fact that Cahun – who misread the death of Narcissus as the consequence of his self-love, rather than of self-recognition in the object of his own affection – refused to believe that Narcissus truly loved himself, opening up narcissism to the possibility of a continuous, fruitful process of identity renewal.

Once again, the process is not without precedent. In the seventeenth century, Diego Velázquez created the painting that perhaps conjures up narcissism and eroticism most directly: The Toilet of Venus (or Rokeby Venus, ca. 1647-51). Velázquez’s goddess, unlike Narcissus, knows herself, and her viewer, all too well. However, she, like Narcissus, is a figure of both flesh and vision, of lived sensuality as much as of aesthetic pleasure. According to Peter Cherry, Velázquez most certainly knew Ficino’s theory of the double Venus, and employed it to blur the identity of his ‘muger desnuda’ (‘naked woman’). In this way, the painter’s erotic image stood ambiguously between eroticism and aestheticism in order to evade the strictures of the Inquisition, which had officially banned the genre of the nude. Velázquez’s Venus is ambiguous in another respect too: her back-view. Referencing the ancient sculptural type of the Aphrodite Kallipygos (‘with beautiful buttocks’), this viewpoint presents an idealised sexuality, that might have appealed to viewers regardless of their sexual orientation.

Back-views of naked, reclining statues are traditionally linked with the Hermaphrodite, a type that literally embodies sexual ambiguity [Fig. 5]. This leads us to consider Narcissus’s relation to the myth of Hermaphroditos, whose metamorphosis into a bi-sexed creature indissolubly links beauty and sexuality.

![Fig. 5: Hermaphroditos Asleep (Borghese Hermaphrodite), Roman work of the Imperial period (second century AD), Discovered near the Baths of Diocletian in Rome in 1608, Marble sculpture, L. 1.69 m; D. 0.89 m, Formerly in the Borghese Collection, Purchased by the Louvre in 1807, Musée du Louvre, Paris, Greek, Etruscan, and Roman Antiquities.](image-url)
Narcissus *cum* Hermaphroditos: Narcissus as Erotic-Aesthetic Beauty

The story of Hermaphroditos, the handsome son of Mercury and Venus (Hermes and Aphrodite), mirrors that of Narcissus in a number of ways. In *The Metamorphoses*, Hermaphroditos partakes of the heuristic character that Pausania attributed to Narcissus as a myth explaining the origins of a legendary fountain. According to Ovid, the fountain of Salmacis in Caria rendered all who bathed therein effeminate, because it was there that the handsome Hermaphroditos, having resisted the love of the nymph Salmacis, was bound with her, forming one single being. His identity merged with Salmacis’s, Hermaphroditos became both male and female. Hermaphroditos’s metamorphosis was the result of the gods’ punishment for a crime similar to the one that caused Narcissus’s infatuation with his own self. Yet, unlike the dramatic tension between vision and eroticism that characterises the myth of Narcissus, Hermaphroditos’s life depended on a total, harmonious fusion of object and subject of amorous contemplation. Michel Foucault was to apply this characteristic of Hermaphroditos to the clinical case of the real nineteenth-century hermaphrodite Herculine Barbin, who died following a surgical operation through which (s)he was made to conform to her juridical status as woman.

The clinical condition of hermaphrodism, considered monstrous in reality, has been sublimated, aesthetically, into the unique beauty of the mythical Hermaphroditos and the art-historical type that derives from him: the Hermaphrodite. In turn, the Hermaphrodite embodies what Whitney Davis has called, in relation to the post-Kantian aesthetic tradition, ‘queer beauty’ – ‘reified or perfected canonical beauty relocated in its corporeal and communal contexts of affective, cognitive, and social significance.’ The confluence, in the image of the Hermaphrodite, of an idealist contemplation of the world and its antithesis opens up the possibility of a ‘queering’ of art-history in which, as Davis has noted, ‘idealisation [is] no less queer than camp inflections or outlaw representations.’

Idealisation is the opposite pole of narcissism in Kristeva’s psychic tale of love. Yet, she recognises that both idealisation and narcissism come closely together in the story of Narcissus, in which the figure is enamoured with a *mirage* of the self. These two poles – the ideal and the narcissistic – have the potential to bring about what Davis calls the ‘aesthetogenesis of sex,’ that process of sexualizing aesthetics while aestheticizing sex, which started in modern Western aesthetics with Kant and Winckelmann, and was systematised by Freud in his model of sexuality.

In this process, the normatively communal (Kantian) concept of self-reflexive beauty – which could be assimilated to a narcissistic entity – is queered by desire. Failing to explain homosexual attraction as Freud had wished, narcissism, Davis argues, comes instead to represent ‘the aesthetic and cultural *forms* of the doctor’s high-profile homosexual patients, who constructed their public personas as decadent aesthetes in order to achieve a “togetherness” otherwise denied to them.’ The sexual, lived and social character of this ‘narcissistic’ contemplation of beauty results, paradoxically, in the opposite of narcissistic solipsism.
As a notion that bridges the aesthetic and the social, queer beauty is contiguous with the concept of ‘convulsive beauty’ that lay at the heart of surrealism’s project to pervert established aesthetical norms in order to demolish traditional psychic and behavioural patterns. André Breton’s concept of convulsive beauty can be thought of as the avant-garde development of ‘queer beauty;’ linking cosmic idealism and narcissism, both convulsive and queer beauty subvert, or have the potential to subvert, the domain of aesthetics by destabilising the cultural order. In different ways but with similar effects, queer and convulsive beauties turn Narcissus’s hypnotic contemplation of the Other into a vertiginous drowning in the depths of the self. For Hal Foster, the link between convulsive beauty and the Kantian idea of the sublime – as the climax of beauty – is based on their being ‘negative pleasures,’ both involving ‘the inextricability of death and desire.’ The sublime beauty of the sleeping Hermaphrodite, which crystallises the narrative entities of Hermaphroditos and Salmacis into one static form, seems to indicate that supreme beauty is linked to a suspension of sexuality into lifeless objects, a movement that also brings to a close the story of Narcissus and underlines the entire structure of Ovid’s *Metamorphoses*. Foster connects this movement – the narcissistic creation of a unitary subject – with Bretonian surrealism and proto-fascism. However, the aestheticisation of sex in the pursuit of an unbroken subject which is implied by Narcissus *cum* Hermaphroditos offers the opportunity to ‘convulse’ traditional beauty into critical forms of aesthetic experience that were vehemently advocated by the surrealists under the intersubjective principle of Eros.

**Narcissus Secum: the Narcissistic Condition of Surrealism**

*Nadia* (1928), Breton’s first novel dedicated to love, opens with a hunter, whose prey, similar to that of the hunter Narcissus, turns out to be the hunter himself – or a ‘haunted self’ to quote the title of David Lomas’s book on the splitting and undoing of the self within surrealism. *Nadia* ends with Breton continuing his amorous and intellectual quest. This incompleteness is the result of an elusive concept of beauty, in which earthly and spiritual pursuits can no longer uphold the distinction bestowed upon them by an incorporeal contemplation of beauty – ‘Beauty will be CONVULSIVE or it will not be (La beauté sera CONVULSIVE ou ne sera pas).’ Breton further elaborated the concept of convulsive beauty in an article he published in *Minotaure* and incorporated subsequently in *Mad Love* (L’Amour fou, 1937). There, Breton describes convulsive beauty as ‘veiled erotic, fix-explosive and magic-circumstantial,’ and illustrates the concept through the erotic encounter between a row of men and women, concluding that ‘the one in question finally recognizes only himself.’ Breton thus put forward a principle of identity between subject and object of representation that translates aesthetically in a concept of ‘beauty considered exclusively with passional aims in mind.’ This is convulsive beauty, the activating device of the surrealist marvellous. This ‘reality as representation,’ as Krauss has called it, depends on a subjective encounter with the world in which the eye cannot be separated from the other senses. This way of representing consists of a ‘subjectification of the objective world’ that extends and reverses Kahn’s definition of Symbolism as
the ‘objectification of the subjective.’ At the same time, it transforms the egotistical Freudian concept of narcissistic self-obsession into a narrative of cosmic exchange between the self and the world. 98

The year of the publication of L’Amour fou, a photomontaged self-portrait of Breton, previously bound inside Paul Eluard’s copy of The Immaculate Conception (1930) and later published in the Dictionnaire abrégé du Surréalisme (1938), was re-photographed and subtitled ‘Écriture automatique (Automatic Writing)’ [Fig. 6].99

Fig. 6: André Breton. Le Verre d’eau dans la tempête (also known as L’Écriture automatique), ca. 1930, photomontage, 26.5 x 23.5 cm, The Israel Museum, Jerusalem, Gift of Vera and Arturo Schwarz ©ADAGP, Paris and DACS, London, 2011.
Beside Breton – or rather, beside the image of his head superimposed on the body of a scientist – the collage shows a Hollywood movie actress who spies cunningly on the surrealist leader from behind bars. Lomas connects the image to the surrealist equivalence between scientific vision, photography and automatic writing; Katherine Conley, in a feminist reading of surrealist automatism, has read the image as symbolic of the identification of women by male surrealists with their own unconscious and creativity. The image also speaks of what Evelyn Fox Keller calls ‘the biological gaze.’ Keller has noted that in the early twentieth century the use of the microscope shifted from detached scientific analysis to a convergence of sight and touch, or hand and eye through which ‘the “secret of life” to which we have so ingeniously gained access’ stops being a ‘pristine point of origin’ and becomes ‘a construct, at least partially, of our own making.’

This subjective dimension of knowledge and truth is clearly in evidence in the photocollage, in which the gender relations at play are translated into a Narcissian tale of disavowal. Breton’s doctored self-portrait borrows the supposed intellectual prowess of the scientist as a visual sign of sexual power. The actress gazes longingly at his androgynous figure, kept at a physical distance, inviting the viewer to question the ‘natural’ dimension of traditional gendered relations. Impersonating a very visible Echo to Breton’s photographic narcissism, she reverberates with the thunderous echoes of convulsive beauty. Reflections of transgression – visual, verbal and epistemic – radiate outside the image to invade the field of the viewer, who is at a loss to understand the ultimate sense of what s/he sees. Yet, these are precisely reflections: Breton’s figure and his own vision of convulsive beauty as a subjective and gendered pursuit dominate the image, giving him the role of the master in this game of ‘seeing as writing.’

This controlled notion of subversion places Breton’s convulsive beauty between the enchanted realm of Symbolism and the rationalist lineage of myth. It is no coincidence that Breton associated the idea of ‘spontaneous action’ (such as the concept of automatic writing) with crystals as reflective and self-reflective material that might encapsulate the notion of convulsive beauty: ‘Works of art, just like certain fragments of human life when it is considered in its gravest signification,’ he wrote, ‘seem to me without value if they do not present the same longevity, rigidity, regularity and shine on all their faces, interior as well as exterior, as crystal.’ This statement expresses the possibility, or at least the desire, of surrealist creativity to reduplicate its own authorial voice (its subversive ‘originality’) infinitely, a representational process known as mise-en-abîme.

Crystals and mise-en-abîme are central themes in André Gide’s Treatise of the Theory of Symbolism (Traité du Narcisse – Théorie du symbole, 1891), a milestone in the modern history of narcissus. In his treaty, Gide elaborated the image of a primeval Narcissus cum Adam as the symbol of an artistic yearning to attain truth within and beyond the appearances of the symbolic order: ‘Paradise is underneath appearance. Everything contains in virtue the intimate harmony of its own being, like every grain of salt contains the archetype of its crystal (Le Paradis est sous l’apparence. Chaque chose détient, virtuelle, l’intime harmonie de son être, comme chaque sel, en lui, l’archétype de son cristal).’ Like this crystallized Narcissus, the surrealist concept of convulsive beauty is the source of a continuous wonder with the world, which is, however,
determined by the crystallised assertion of individual drives. Incidentally, Tristan Tzara associated the surrealists with Narcissus early on: ‘[They] are today’s Narcissuses’ – a sentence that implies the surrealists’ collective extension of self-reflexive individuality.107

Conclusion
The ancient myth of Narcissus centred on the psychic difficulty of separating the self from the world, a religious denunciation of the philosophical pretence (hubris) of self-sufficient reason. Narcissus’s fate, condemning the youth to live ‘as long as he does not know himself’ (‘si se non noverit’), dismisses the Delphic imperative ‘know thyself’ by warning that true self-knowledge is a frustrating and anti-social pursuit. The modernist resurrection of Narcissus within Symbolist and surrealist contexts offered a powerful extension of the myth's ancient significance. It eroded the boundaries between reality, individual cognition and cultural constructions of truth. Yet this, too, could only rob myth of its afterlife. Narcissus represents identity as an unfixed reflection of subjectivity, as he mirrors and co-exists with the polymorphous diversity of the world and holds on to the ego in order to orientate himself in that reflective pool which is the world.

The present article developed during the preparation of the exhibition Narcissus Reflected. The Myth of Narcissus in Surrealist and Contemporary Art, curated by David Lomas and Dawn Ades, Fruitmarket Gallery, Edinburgh, 2011. The author wishes to thank David Lomas, Charles Miller, Joanna Pawlik, Chiara Thumiger and Giovanna Zapperi for their comments. Special thanks to Stephen Bann and Nancy Ireson for their kind encouragement and careful reading of the text.
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